arXiv:0804.1023v1 [math.AG] 7 Apr 2008

SUBMANIFOLDS WITH AMPLE NORMAL BUNDLES AND A CONJECTURE OF HARTSHORNE

THOMAS PETERNELL

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Update on the Hartshorne Conjecture 2
3. The generic Hartshorne Conjecture 3
4. Some general observations 5
5. Fourfolds and Fano manifolds 9
References 14

1. Introduction

We consider a projective manifold Z and submanifolds X and Y with *ample normal bundles.* In [Ha70,chap III,4.5] R.Hartshorne stated the following conjecture:

1.1. Conjecture. *If* $\dim X + \dim Y \geq \dim Z$, *then* $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

Although there are some remarkable positive results, the conjecture is in principle wide open; see section 2 for a short description of what is known so far.
We observe first in this paper that the conjecture holds generically. To be more precise we introduce

$$VG_k(Z) \subset Z$$

to be the set of points x such given an irreducible $k-$cycle through x, then a multiple of the cycle moves in a family covering Z. From general properties of the Chow scheme, it is clear that $Z \setminus VG_k(Z)$ is a countable union of proper subvarieties of Z. Using criteria of Barlet resp. Fulton-Lazarsfeld to decide when X and Y meet, one deduces the following

1.2. Theorem. *Suppose that under the above conditions that* $X \cap VG_{m-1}(Z) \neq \emptyset$, *where* $m = \dim X$ *and that* N_Y *is even positive in the sense of Griffiths. Then* $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. *If we make the stronger assumption that* $X \cap VG_m(Z) \neq \emptyset$, *then the ampleness assumption on* N_X *can be dropped.*

In particular, if X contains a sufficiently general point of Z, then the Hartshorne conjecture holds for X and any Y. The a priori stronger condition that N_Y is positive in the sense of Griffiths is needed to ensure that $Z \setminus Y$ is $k-$convex (in the sense of Andreotti-Grauert), where $k = \text{codim}Y$. Vector bundles which are positive in the sense of Griffiths are necessarily ample, but it is still unknown whether the converse is also true.

Date: April 8, 2008.

Instead of $VG(Z)$ we can also use either $VG_k^a(Z)$ or $VG_k^{sm,a}(Z)$, using only $k-$cycles with ample normal bundles resp. smooth $k-$cycles with ample normal bundles and everything said so far remains true.

We next observe that the Hartshorne conjecture holds if the class $[X]$ is contained in the interior of the cone generated by the cohomology classes of irreducible $m-$dimensional subvarieties, where $m = \dim X$. We discuss this property in detail in section 4. In particular we show that the Hartshorne conjecture holds once the following question has a positive answer:

given a submanifold $X_m \subset Z$ with ample normal bundle, is the class $[X]$ an interior point of the cone generated by the cohomology classes of $m-$dimensional subvarieties of Z?

As to positive results, we verify the Hartshorne conjecture in the following cases - we always assume N_Y to be positive in the sense of Griffiths.

- Z is a degree 2 cover over a projective homogeneous manifold;
- Z is a smooth hypersurface in a weighted projective space;
- Z admits a fibration over a curve whose general fiber is homogeneous;
- Z is a $\mathbb{P}_1-$bundle over a threefold;
- Z is a $4-$fold and X is a surface with $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, resp.
- Z is a $4-$fold and X is a non-minimal surface; moreover $\kappa(\mathcal{O}_Z(D)) \geq 1$ for every effective divisor D;
- Z is a Fano manifold of index $n-1$, i.e., Z is a del Pezzo manifold;
- Z is a Fano manifold of index $n-2$ with a few possible exception (only one exception in all dimensions ≥ 5 , namely the intersection of three quadrics in $\mathbb{P}_{n+3}$).

2. Update on the Hartshorne Conjecture

In this section we collect the known results on the Hartshorne conjecture and fix the following situation:

Z is a projective manifold of dimension n with compact submanifolds X, Y of dimensions m, k such that $m + k \geq n$. We assume that the normal bundle N_X is ample and N_Y is Griffiths-positive.

Recall that a rank $r-$vector bundle E is said to be positive in the sense of Griffiths, *G-positive* for short, if there is a hermitian metric on E such that the curvature Θ of the canonical connection fulfilles the following positivity condition

$$\sum_{i,j\alpha,\beta} \Theta_{i,j,\alpha,\beta}(z)\zeta^\alpha\overline{\zeta^\beta}\eta^i\overline{\eta^j} > 0$$

for all $z \in Z, (\zeta^\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^r \setminus \{0\}, (\eta^i) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Notice first that if $m = n-1$, then the conjecture is obviously true so that usually we shall assume $m \leq n-2$. In [Ba87] and [BDM94] the most general result is proved - generalizing [Lu80] and [FL82].

2.1. Theorem. *If Z is a hypersurface in a homogeneous manifold, then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. (It suffices that there is an open neighborhood of X in Z which is biholomorphic to a locally closed hypersurface of a homogeneous manifold). If Z is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{n+1}$, then it suffices both normal bundles to be ample.*

The paper [DPS90] deals with special 4-folds:

2.2. Theorem. *If Z is a $\mathbb{P}_2-$bundle over a smooth projective surface, then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*

In the thesis [Poe92] the Hartshorne conjecture (for G-positive normal bundles) is settled for many $\mathbb{P}_1-$bundles over threefolds - the general case will be done in section 5. The last result is due to Migliorini [Mi92].

2.3. Theorem. *Assume that $\dim Z = 4$ and that $b_2(Z) = 1$ or that Z is a complete intersection in some projective space. The normal bundles N_X and N_Y are supposed only to be ample. Suppose furthermore that the surface X is minimal of non-negative Kodaira dimension and that $c_1(N_X)^2 > 2c_2(X)$. Then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*

A general notice: by taking hyperplanes section or submanifolds in X or Y with ample normal bundles, we can always reduce - and do - to the case

$$\dim Z = \dim X + \dim Y.$$

3. THE GENERIC HARTSHORNE CONJECTURE

We fix again a projective manifold Z of dimension n and submanifolds X and Y with $\dim X = m$ and $\dim Y = k$ subject to the condition $m + k = n$.

Here is a criterion due to Barlet to verify $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

3.1. Proposition. *Assume that there is an effective divisor ($= (m-1)-$cycle) $D \subset X$ moving in an irreducible family (D_t) of $(m-1)-$cycles in Z such that $D_{t_0} \cap Y \neq 0$ for some t_0. If N_X is ample and N_Y is G-positive, then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*

For the proof we refer to [Ba87], [BPS90,1.4,1.5] for the case that N_X and N_Y both G-positive, and to [BDM94], [Ba99] in the case that N_X is merely ample (and N_Y G-positive).

The other - related - criterion is due to Fulton-Lazarsfeld [FL82], [Fu84,12.2.4]:

3.2. Proposition. *Suppose N_Y ample and $m + k = n$. Suppose furthermore that X is numerically equivalent to an effective cycle meeting Y, then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ (here we do not assume N_X to be ample).*

Barlet's criterion has the advantage that one needs "only" to move divisors in X, on the other hand the assumptions are stronger.

3.3. Notation. We denote the cycle space of Z by $\mathcal{B}(Z)$ and by $\mathcal{B}_k(Z)$ the subspace of $k-$cycles. If $S \subset \mathcal{B}_k(Z)$ is an irreducible subvariety, we consider the associated family $q : \mathcal{C}_S \to S$ with projection $p : \mathcal{C}_S \to Z$. If $D \subset Z$ is a $k-$cycle, we consider the associated point $[D] \in \mathcal{B}(Z)$ and a positive-dimensional irreducible subvariety $S \subset \mathcal{B}(Z)$ (usually an irreducible component) containing $[D]$. We say that D deforms in the family $\mathcal{C}_S$, or, introducing $D_s = p(q^{-1}(s))$ (as cycle), that D deforms in the family (D_s). This family is *covering* if p is surjective.

Following Kollár [Ko95] we define - however in a somehow different setting - very general points.

3.4. Definition. *$VG_k(Z)$ is the set of points $z \in Z$ subject to the following condition. If D is any irreducible $k-$dimensional subvariety passing through z, then some multiple mD moves in a family covering Z.*

Similarly as in [Ko95] we have

3.5. Proposition. *For all $k > 0$ there are at most countably many irreducible subvarieties $W_r \subset Z$ such that $Z \setminus VG_k(Z) \subset \bigcup_j W_j$.*

Proof. Let $S_j \subset \mathcal{B}_k(Z)$ denote those irreducible components for which the projection $p_j : \mathcal{C}_{S_j} \to Z$ is not surjective. These are at most countable many, simply because $\mathcal{B}_k(Z)$ has only countably many components. Now set

$$W_j = p_j(\mathcal{C}_{S_j}).$$

So if $x \in Z \setminus \bigcup_j W_j$ and if D is an irreducible subvariety containing x, then for any component S of $\mathcal{B}_k(Z)$ containing $[D]$, then $S \neq S_j$ for all j and therefore the associated family covers Z so that even D moves in a covering family. $\square$

Putting things together we obtain

3.6. Theorem.

1. *Suppose in our setting that N_X is ample and that N_Y is G-positive. If $X \cap VG_{m-1}(Z) \neq \emptyset$, then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*
2. *If N_Y is merely ample (without any assumption on N_X), and if $X \cap VG_m(Z) \neq \emptyset$, then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*

In particular there is a countable union T of subvarieties of Z having the following property. If X and Y are submanifolds of Z with ample normal bundles and $\dim X + \dim Y \geq \dim Z$ *such that $X \not\subset T$, then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. (1) By (3.1) we need to move some irreducible divisor $D \subset X$ to meet Y. Choose $x \in X \cap VG_{m-1}(Z)$ and take any irreducible divisor $D \subset X$ passing through x. Then D moves in a family covering x, hence some deformation of D meets Y and we conclude.
(2) Choose $x \in X \cap VG_m(Z)$. Then X moves in a family covering Z. Now apply (3.2) to conclude. $\square$

It is actually not necessary to work with singular cycles; we can define $VG_k^{sm}(Z)$ as the set of points $z \in Z$ with the property that if D is a $k-$dimensional smooth subvariety passing through z, then some multiple of D moves in a family covering Z. Then all what we said for $VG_k(Z)$ remains true for $VG_k^{sm}(Z)$. We can even put more conditions on the cycles, namely we can ask D to have ample normal bundle (or rather ample normal sheaf) in Z. The resulting sets are denoted $VG_k^a(Z)$ resp. $VG_k^{sm,a}(Z)$.

In general it is difficult to compute $VG_k(Z)$, even in the simplest case $\dim Z = 2$ and $k = 1$. So suppose Z a projective surface and suppose $VG_1(Z) = Z$. Then Z does not contain any irreducible curve C with $C^2 < 0$, in particular Z is minimal. Moreover:

- $\kappa(Z) = -\infty$ iff $Z = \mathbb{P}_2, \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ or $Z = \mathbb{P}(E)$ with E a semi-stable rank $2-$bundle over a curve B of genus ≥ 2 or of the form $\mathcal{O}_B \oplus L$ with L torsion;
- $\kappa(Z) = 0$ iff X is torus, hyperelliptic or K3/Enriques without $(-2)-$curves.

If however we consider $VG_1^a(Z)$, things gets much easier: obviously

$$VG_1^a(Z) = Z$$

for all surfaces Z. At the moment I do not have any example of a threefold or a fourfold Z such that $VG_1^a(Z) \neq Z$.

4. SOME GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

4.1. Notation. Let Z_n be a projective manifold. Then $K_r^a(Z)$ denotes the closed cone of classes of effective $r-$cycles $\sum a_i W_i$ (with W_i irreducible of dimension r) in $A_r(Z)$, in the Chow ring of Z.
If we consider numerical instead of rational equivalence, we obtain the cone $K_r(Z) \subset H_{\mathbf{R}}^{n-r,n-r}(Z)$. The class numerical of W_i will be denoted by

$$[W_i] \in H_{\mathbf{R}}^{n-r,n-r}(Z).$$

Given subvarieties X and Y such that $\dim X + \dim Y = n$, we can form the intersection product

$$X \cdot Y \in A_0(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

which will always be considered as a number.

4.2. Theorem. *Let X and Y be submanifolds of Z of dimensions m and k with $m + k = \dim Z = n$.*

1. *If N_X or N_Y is ample, then $X \cdot Y = 0$ if and only if $X \cap Y = \emptyset$.*
2. *If N_Y is ample and if $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, then $[X] \in \partial K_n^a(Z)$.*

Proof. (1) If $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, then of course $X \cdot Y = 0$. The other direction is [FL82, Theorem 1].
(2) We consider the linear form

$$\Phi_Y : A_m(Z) \to \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\sum a_i[W_i] \mapsto \deg(\sum a_i(Y \cdot W_i))$$

(where $Y \cdot W_i \in A_0(W_i) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$). By [FL82], the ampleness of N_Y implies that $\Phi_Y(W) \geq 0$ for W irreducible of dimension m. Thus $\Phi_Y|K_m^a(Z) \geq 0$. Now

$$\Phi_Y(X) = X \cdot Y = 0.$$

Thus $[X]$ cannot be in the interior of $K_n^a(Z)$, since $\Phi \neq 0$. □

4.3. Corollary. *Let X and Y be submanifolds of Z of dimensions m and k with $m + k = \dim Z$. Suppose N_X and N_Y ample. If $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, then $[X] \in \partial K_m(Z)$ and $[Y] \in \partial K_k(Z)$.*

Proof. We just have to notice that for W_1, W_2 numerically equivalent, we have $\deg(Y \cdot W_1) = \deg(Y \cdot W_2)$. Indeed, in $H^*(Z, \mathbb{R})$ one has $\deg(Y \cdot W_j) = [Y] \cdot [W_j]$, see [Fu84,chap.19]. □

The Hartshorne conjecture would therefore be a consequence of a positive answer to the following question.

4.4. Question. *Let $X \subset Z$ be a submanifold of dimension m in the projective manifold Z. If N_X is ample, must $[X]$ be in the interior of $K_m(Z)$?*

In codimension 1, the answer is easy, since a big divisor is the sum of an ample and an effective $\mathbb{Q}-$divisor:

4.5. Proposition. *Assume $X \subset Z_n$ is a smooth divisor with ample normal bundle. Then $[X] \in K_{n-1}(Z)^o$, the interior of the pseudo-effective cone of Z.*

Even in dimension 1, the analogous statement is open: let $X \subset Z_n$ be a smooth compact curve with ample normal bundle. Is

$$[X] \in K_1(Z)^0 = NE(Z)^0?$$

This comes down to solve positively the following problem:

Let L be a nef line bundle and a smooth curve $C \subset Z$ with ample normal bundle. If $L \cdot C = 0$, is $L \equiv 0$?

If $\dim Z = 2$, this follows from Hodge Index Theorem. Here are some partial results in higher dimensions.

4.6. Proposition. *Let Z be a projective manifold, L a nef line bundle on Z and $C \subset Z$ a smooth curve with ample normal bundle. If $L \cdot C = 0$, then $\kappa(L) \leq 0$.*

Proof. By [PSS99], there is a positive number c such that for all t :

$$h^0(tL) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{c} th^0(S^k N_C^* \otimes tL_C).$$

Since $L|C \equiv 0$, we obtain

$$h^0(tL) \leq h^0(tL_C) \leq 1.$$

Hence $\kappa(L) \leq 0$. □

If Z is a $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}-$bundle over a curve, things are easy:

4.7. Proposition. *Let $p : Z = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to B$ be a $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}-$bundle over the smooth compact curve B. Let $C \subset Z$ be a smooth curve with ample normal bundle. Then $[C] \in K_1(Z)^0$.*

Proof. By possibly passing to a covering of B, we may assume that C is a section of p. Then C corresponds to an epimorphism

$$\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{L} \to 0$$

(such that $C = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L})$). Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote its kernel. Then

$$N_{C/Z} \simeq \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F}^*,$$

hence $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F}^*$ is ample. In order to prove our assertion, we pick a nef $\mathbb{Q}-$divisor D and need to show that $D \cdot C > 0$. We may write

$$D \equiv \zeta + p^*(A),$$

where $\zeta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathcal{E})$ and A is a $\mathbb{Q}-$divisor on B. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to 0$$

and the ampleness of $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F}^*$, we deduce $\deg A > \deg \mathcal{L}^*$ (notice that $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^*$ cannot be nef). Thus

$$D \cdot C = \zeta \cdot C + \deg A = \deg \mathcal{L} + \deg A > 0.$$

□

4.8. Theorem. *Let $C \subset Z$ be a smooth curve with ample normal bundle. Suppose C moves in a family (C_t) which covers Z. Then $[C]$ is in the interior of $\overline{NE}(Z)$.*

Proof. We must show that, given a nef line bundle on Z with $L \cdot C = 0$, then $L \equiv 0$. Consider the nef reduction $f : Z \dashrightarrow S$ of L, see [workshop]. Thus f has the following properties.

- f is almost holomorphic, i.e. the general fiber F of f is compact;
- $L|F \equiv 0$;
- If B is any curve through a general point of Z, then $\dim f(B) = 0$ iff $L \cdot B = 0$.

Since N_C is ample, so does N_{C_t} for general t. On the other hand $\dim f(C_t) = 0$, since $L \cdot C_t = 0$. Both facts together are in contradiction unless S is a point. But then $L \equiv 0$. $\square$

This result remains true for singular curves assuming that the normal sheaf $(\mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_C^2)^*)$ is ample. Even if $\kappa(L) = 0$, the general problem however is open; specifically we ask

4.9. Question. *Let Z be a smooth projective threefold, $Y \subset Z$ a smooth hypersurface with nef normal bundle and $C \subset Z$ a smooth curve with ample normal bundle. Is $Y \cap C \neq \emptyset$?*

4.10. Example. In [FL82] Fulton and Lazarsfeld gave an example of a surface X in a 4−fold Z with ample normal bundle such that no multiple of X moves inside Z. Here we show that nevertheless $[X]$ is in the interior of $K_2(Z)$.
Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ample rank 2-vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}_2$ given by an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(-n)^2 \to \mathcal{O}(-1)^4 \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

for a suitable large n. These bundles were constructed by Gieseker [Gi71]. We consider

$$Z = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{F}^*)$$

with projection $\pi : Z \to \mathbb{P}_2$ and the submanifold

$$X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathbb{P}_2.$$

Note that the normal bundle $N_{X/Z} \simeq \mathcal{F}$ is ample. In [FL82] it is shown that no multiple of X moves in Z. Consider a line $l \subset X$. Then the normal bundle $N_{l/Z}$ is ample and the deformations of l cover Z. Hence by (3.1) X meets every surface $Y \subset Z$ with G-positive normal bundle.
We prove that Question 4.4 has a positive answer for X:

$$[X] \in K_2(Z)^o.$$

Consider now a *general* line $l \subset \mathbb{P}_2$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is stable (this is obvious from $H^0(\mathcal{F}) = 0$), the Grauert-Mülich theorem determines the splitting behaviour:

$$\mathcal{F}|l = \mathcal{O}(n-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(n-2).$$

Therefore

$$Z_l := \pi^{-1}(l) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2-n)^2).$$

Consider the map

$$\phi : H^4(Z, \mathbb{R}) \to H^4(Z_l, \mathbb{R})$$

given by $S \mapsto S \cap Z_l$. Then $\phi(K_2(Z)) \subset \overline{NE}(Z_l)$. Let $K' = \phi(K_2(Z))$, a closed subcone of the 2-dimensional cone $\overline{NE}(Z_l)$. It is immediately seen that one of the two boundary rays of K' is occupied by a line l' in a fiber of $Z_l \to l$. Set

$$X_l = X \cap Z_l.$$

This is the section $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_l) \subset Z_l$ and it has normal bundle

$$N_{X_l/Z_l} = \mathcal{O}(n-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(n-2).$$

Let $\zeta = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$. Since ζ is ample, we find $m > 0$ and an element

$$S \in |m\zeta|,$$

where $p : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathbb{P}_2$ is the projection. We have an embedding

$$S \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*) \subset Z.$$

Let $S_l = S \cap Z_l$, a multisection of Z_l which is disjoint from X_l. Since l' and S_l are independent in $H^4(Z_l, \mathbb{R})$, we can write

$$X_l = \mu S_l + \nu l' \tag{$*$}$$

in $H^4(Z_l)$ with real (actually rational) coefficients μ, ν. We claim that $\mu, \nu > 0$, so that $[X_l]$ is not extremal in K'. Hence $[X]$ is not extremal in $K_2(Z)$, i.e., contained in the interior of $K_2(Z)$. To verify the positivity of μ and ν we first dot (*) with a $\pi-$ fiber F to obtain $\mu = \frac{1}{m}$. Then we dot with $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_l^*)$ and use

$$S_l \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_l^*) = -\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_l}^2 < 0$$

to deduce

$$\nu = -\frac{1}{m} S_l \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_l^*) > 0.$$

Thus we conclude that $[X] \in K_2(Z)^o$. $\square$

We next prove a statement which would be an immediate consequence of a positive answer to the Hartshorne conjecture.

4.11. Theorem. *Let $X, Y \subset Z$ be compact submanifolds of dimensions m and n. Assume $\dim Z = m + n$. Suppose that X and Y meet transversally in d points $x_1, \ldots, x_d$. Let $\pi : \hat{X} \to X$ be the blow-up of $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ with exceptional divisors E_j. Let $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{Y}$ be the strict transform of X and Y. Then at least one of the normal bundles $N_{\hat{X}}$,$N_{\hat{Y}}$ is not G-positive. Hence $\pi^*(N_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(-\sum E_j|\hat{X})$ or $\pi^*(N_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}}(-\sum E_j|\hat{Y})$ is not G-positive.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction and need to construct a divisor $D \subset \hat{X}$ which moves in a family (D_t) such that $D_{t_0} \cap \hat{Y}$ for some t_0. We consider the exceptional divisor E_1 lying over x_1 and put $D = E_1 \cap \hat{X}$. Then $D \simeq \mathbb{P}_{m-1}$ is a linear subspace, and since $E_1 \cap \hat{Y} \neq \emptyset$, some deformation of D in E meets $\hat{Y}$. Hence not both $N_{\hat{X}}$ and $N_{\hat{Y}}$ can be Griffiths-positive by (3.1).

$\square$

For later use we establish the Hartshorne conjecture for degree 2 covers of homogeneous manifolds.

4.12. Theorem. *Let Z be a projective manifold with a degree 2 cover $f : Z \to W$ over a projective homogeneous manifold W. Let $X, Y \subset Z$ submanifolds with N_X ample, N_Y G-positive and $\dim X + \dim Y \geq \dim Z$. Then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $Y' = f(Y)$. Since W is homogeneous, Y' moves in a family covering W. Hence $f^*(Y') = f^{-1}(Y')$, the scheme-theoretic preimage, moves in a family $(f^*(Y'_t))$ covering Z. Thus for some t, we have $X \cap f^{-1}(Y'_t) \neq \emptyset$. From (3.2) it follows

$$X \cdot f^*(Y') = X \cdot f^*(Y'_t)) > 0.$$

If $\deg f|Y = 2$, or if Y lies in the branch locus B of f, then $f^{-1}(Y') = Y$ set-theoretically, hence $X \cdot Y > 0$, so that $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. If $\deg f|Y = 1$ and if $Y \not\subset B$, then $f^*(Y')$ has a second component $\tilde{Y}$. Assume $X \cap Y = \emptyset$. Then $X \cdot \tilde{Y} > 0$, so that $X \cap \tilde{Y} \neq \emptyset$. We now show that there is a divisor $D \subset Y$ which is also contained in $\tilde{Y}$ deforming in a covering family of $\tilde{Y}$. Some deformation will therefore meet X, so that by (3.1) we arrive at a contradiction. In order to produce D, we consider the ramification divisor $R \subset W$. Since W is homogeneous, R moves in a covering family. Hence $R \cap Y'$ moves in a family (D'_t) covering Y' with $D_0 = R \cap Y'$. Now consider the family $f^*(D_t)$ in $Y \cup \tilde{Y}$; notice $f^*(D_0) \subset Y \cap \tilde{Y}$. Furthermore for general t we can write

$$f^*(D_t) = S_t \cup \tilde{S}_t$$

with $S_t \subset Y_t$ and $\tilde{S}_t \subset \tilde{Y}$. The family $(f^*(D_t) \cap \tilde{Y})$ thus deforms a divisor contained in $\tilde{Y} \cap Y$, namely $\frac{1}{2} f^*(D_0)$ to a divisor in $\tilde{Y}$ meeting X, and we are done.

□

4.13. Theorem. *Let Z be a projective manifold of dimension n and $f : Z \to B$ be a surjective map with connected fibers to a smooth curve B. Assume that the general fiber F of f is homogeneous. Let $X, Y \subset Z$ be submanifolds with N_X ample, N_Y G-positive and* $\dim X + \dim Y = n$. *Then* $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By the ampleness of N_X amd N_Y the maps $f|X$ and $f|Y$ are onto B. Thus $F \cap X$ and $F \cap Y$ are divisors in X resp. Y. We want to move $F \cap X$ inside F to meet $F \cap Y$. But this is obvious by homogeneity. Now we conclude by (3.1). □

This theorem applies e.g. to manifolds Z with $\kappa(Z) = 1$ such that its Iitaka fibration is holomorphic with general fiber a torus.

5. Fourfolds and Fano manifolds

We first show that the Hartshorne conjecture holds for $\mathbb{P}_1-$bundles over threefolds.

5.1. Theorem. *Let Z be a smooth projective $4-$fold, $\pi : Z \to W$ a $\mathbb{P}_1-$bundle. Let $X \subset Z$ and $Y \subset Z$ be surfaces with G-positive normal bundles. Then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. After a finite étale cover of W we may write

$$Z = \mathbb{P}(E)$$

with a rank $2-$bundle E on W. Passing to $\mathbb{Q}-$bundles E, we may also assume

$$c_1(E) = 0.$$

So from now on, all bundles are $\mathbb{Q}-$bundles. It is easy to see ([Poe92]), that $\pi|X$ and $\pi|Y$ are finite and that $X' = \pi(X)$ and $Y' = \pi(Y)$ are surfaces with ample normal bundles in W. Thus X' and Y' meet in finitely many curves C_j.
Let

$$\zeta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1).$$

The equation $c_1(E) = 0$ implies via the Hirsch-Leray relation $\zeta^2 = -\pi^*(c_2(E))$. Therefore we may write in $N^*(Z)$:

$$X \equiv \zeta \cdot \pi^*(D) + \pi^*(C) \tag{1}$$

with $D \in N^1_{\mathbb{Q}}(W)$ and $C \in N^2_{\mathbb{Q}}(W) \simeq N_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W)$. In other words $D \equiv \sum a_i D_i$ with irreducible hypersurfaces $D_i \subset W$; $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $C \equiv \sum b_j C_j$ with irreducible curves $C_j \subset W$ and $b_j \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We are going to fix some notation. We consider an irreducible, possibly singular, curve $C \subset W$ and the ruled surface $Z'_C = \pi^{-1}(C)$ whose normalization is denoted by $\nu : Z_C \to Z'_C$. Using the notations of [Ha77,V.2], the surface Z_C has an invariant e and a section C_0 of minimal self-intersection $C_0^2 = -e$. We also have

$$\zeta = C_0 + \frac{e}{2}F,$$

where F is a ruling line.

(A) Suppose that there is an ample line bundle L on W such that

$$X \cdot \pi^*(L) \cdot \zeta > 0. \tag{2}$$

We may assume L very ample, take a general element $S \in |L|$ and put $C = S \cap X'$. Let $X_C = \nu^{-1}(X \cap Z'_C)$ and $\zeta_C = \nu^*(\zeta|Z'_C)$. Writing

$$X_C = C_0 + \mu F,$$

equation (2) reads

$$X_C \cdot \zeta_C = \mu - \frac{e}{2} > 0.$$

Using the description of the pseudo-effective and the nef cone of a ruled surface as give in [Ha77,V.2], we conclude that X_C is a big divisor in Z_C. Therefore a multiple of X_C moves to fill up Z_C. Hence a multiple of $X \cap Z'_C$ moves and fills up $\pi^{-1}(X')$, since we may also vary C. Since $\pi^{-1}(X') \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, we may apply Theorem 3.1 and conclude $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

(B) So we may assume that

$$X \cdot \pi^*(L) \cdot \zeta \leq 0 \tag{3}$$

for all ample L on W. Putting (1) into (3) gives

$$L \cdot C \leq 0$$

for all ample L on W. Thus $-C \in \overline{NE}(W)$. Using again (1),

$$X^2 = (\zeta \cdot \pi^*(D) + \pi^*(C))^2 = 2\zeta \cdot \pi^*(D) \cdot \pi^*(C) = 2X \cdot \pi^*(C).$$

The ampleness of N_X implies $X^2 > 0$, hence $X \cdot C > 0$. By the projection formula

$$X \cdot \pi^*(C) = dX' \cdot C,$$

where d is the degree of X over X'. Hence

$$X' \cdot C > 0.$$

On the other hand, $-C \in \overline{NE}(W)$, which leads to a contradiction, the divisor X' being nef in W.

□

5.2. Remark. Theorem 5.1 should of course also be true if the normal bundles are just ample. If $\deg \pi|X \geq 2$ and $\deg \pi|Y \geq 2$ and if every big and semi-ample divisor on W is actually ample, this is seen as follows. We shall use the notations of the proof of (5.1) and argue that if $\pi|X$ has degree at least 2, then we have

$$X \cdot \pi^*(L) \cdot \zeta \geq 0 \tag{1}$$

for all ample line bundles L on W. This is done using the computations in (5.1) by choosing a curve C as intersection $S \cap X'$ with S a general element in $|mL|$. Then

we use the theory of ruled surfaces, applied to Z_C, to compute.
Next we claim that - assuming $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ -

$$X \cdot \pi^*(Y') \cdot \zeta = 0. \tag{2}$$

This is seen as follows. We take one of the irreducible curves $C_j \subset X' \cap Y'$ and form the ruled surface $Z_j = Z_{C_j}$. Then X_j and Y_j are disjoint multi-sections - if we assume $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ - possibly reducible. By (3.1) no deformation of a multiple of any component of X_j meets Y_j and vice versa. Using again [Ha77,V.2], this is only possible when $e = 0$ and X_j, Y_j are sections with $X_j^2 = Y_j^2 = 0$. This implies (2).
Now by our assumption the a priori only big and semi-ample divisor Y' is ample. Therefore equation (1) and (2) together yield

$$X \cdot \pi^*(L) \cdot \zeta = 0$$

for all ample line bundles L. Hence $L \cdot C = 0$ for all L and therefore $C \equiv 0$. Consequently $X^2 = \zeta^2 \cdot \pi^*(D^2) = 0$, contradicting the ampleness of N_X.

In the next theorem we put some conditions on the geometry of X.

5.3. Theorem. *Let Z be a smooth projective 4-fold, $X, Y \subset Z$ smooth surfaces with G-positive normal bundles. Under one of the following conditions X and Y meet.*

(1) $\kappa(X) = -\infty$.
(2) *X is not minimal and every effective divisor D in Z has $\kappa(\mathcal{O}_Z(D)) \geq 1$.*

Proof. (1) Choose a smooth rational curve $C \subset X$ with nef normal bundle $N_{C/X}$. Since $N_{X/Z}$ is ample, the normal bundle $N_{C/Z}$ is nef, hence the deformations of C cover Z, in particular some member of the family meets Y. We conclude by (3.1).
(2) Choose a $(-1)-$curve $C \subset X$. Using again the ampleness of $N_{X/Z}$ we conclude that either $N_{C/Z}$ is nef or

$$N_{C/Z} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b)$$

with $a, b > 0$. In the first case we conclude as in (1). In the second we argue that the deformations of C fill at least a divisor D, see e.g. [Ko96,1.16]. In fact, assume the deformations cover only a surface S. We consider a general member C_t of the family of deformations of C. We may assume that

$$N_{C_t/Z} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(a') \oplus \mathcal{O}(b')$$

with $a', b' > 0$. Otherwise the normal bundle would be nef and the deformations of C cover the whole Z. Now choose a general smooth point $x \in S$ and a general $v \in T_{Z,x}$ which is normal to S. Then we find a section $s \in H^0(N_{C_t/Z})$ such that $s(x) = v$ and therefore there is an infinitesimal deformation of C_t along v. By non-obstructedness this infinitesimal deformation extends to a deformation with positive-dimensional parameter space, so that we find deformations of C not contained in S, contradiction.
So the C_t) fill a divisor D (or the whole space, in which case we are done anyway). Since a multiple of D moves by assumption, we conclude by (3.2) that $D \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

We now treat Fano manifolds Z.

5.4. Theorem. *Let Z be Fano 4-fold of index at least 2, $X, Y \subset Z$ surfaces with N_X ample and N_Y G-positive. Then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. (1) We first treat the case $b_2(Z) = 1$ and give an argument which does not use classification. By Mella [Me99] (for index 2, the index 3 case being settled by Fujita, see e.g. [IP99]), there is a smooth element $H \in | - K_Z|$. Let C be an irreducible component of $H \cap X$. Then C moves in an at least 1-dimensional family in the Fano 3-fold H. If the deformations of C cover H, then some member of the family meets $H \cap Y$, hence we conclude by (3.1). If the deformations of C fill a divisor D in H, then D is ample in H, hence $D \cap (H \cap Y) \neq \emptyset$, and we conclude again by (3.1).
(2) In case $b_2(Z) \geq 2$ we need the classification of Z, see [Mu88,Mu89,IP99]. If Z has index 3, then $Z = \mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2$, hence homogenenous. If Z has index 2, either Z is a product $\mathbb{P}_1 \times W$ with $W = \mathbb{P}_3$ or a del Pezzo 3-fold; hence we conclude by (5.1). Or Z falls into of one 9 classes listed in [Mu88]. Then Z is a divisor in a homogeneous manifold, a two-sheeted cover over a homogeneous manifold or a $\mathbb{P}_1-$bundle unless Z is the blow-up ϕ of a 4-dimensional quadric Q along a conic whose linear span is not contained in the quadric. In this case Z has a quadric bundle structure over $\mathbb{P}_2$. Here we argue ad hoc as follows. We clearly have $\phi(X) \cap \phi(Y) \neq \emptyset$. So if $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, then both X and Y must meet E (along a curve). Now $E = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$, hence we can deform $X \cap E$ in E to meet $Y \cap E$. We conclude once more by (3.1). ☐

Addressing higher dimensions we first state

5.5. Theorem. *Let Z be a del Pezzo manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$; X and Y submanifolds with N_X ample and N_Y G-positive such that $\dim X + \dim Y \geq n$. Then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Using Fujita's classification and the notation $-K_Z = (n-1)L$, we are reduced to the following case:
$L^n = 1$ and Z is a hypersurface of degree 6 in the weighted projective space $W = \mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1, \ldots, 1)$. In this case we conclude by Proposition 5.6 below.
All other cases are 2-sheeted covers over projective spaces, hypersurfaces in homogeneous spaces or itself homogeneous. ☐

5.6. Proposition. *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$ be a smooth hypersurface in a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$. Let X and Y be submanifolds with N_X ample and N_Y G-positive such that $\dim X + \dim Y \geq n$. Then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. We consider the projection $f : \mathbb{P}_{n+1} \to \mathbb{P}(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$. By [Ba87,Prop.B] any divisor D_0 in some irreducible component X_0 of $f^{-1}(X)$ moves inside a component Z_0 of $f^{-1}(Z)$ containing X_0 such that the deformations D_t cover Z_0. Since $Z_0 \cap f^{-1}(Y) \neq \emptyset$, there is some t such that $D_t \cap f^{-1}(Y) \neq \emptyset$. Thus the family $(f_*(D_t))$ deforms a divisor in X to some D_t which meets Y. Henc $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. ☐

We turn now to Fano manifolds Z_n of index $n-2$, so-called Mukai varieties. We will assume $n \geq 5$ and shall write $-K_Z = (n-2)H$; notice also the notion of the genus of Z

$$g = g(Z) = \frac{1}{2}H^n + 1.$$

By [Mu88,89], $2 \leq g \leq 10$.

5.7. Theorem. *Let Z be a Fano of dimension $n \geq 5$ and index $n-2$. Let X and Y be submanifolds with N_X ample and N_Y G-positive such that $\dim X + \dim Y \geq n$. Then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ with the following possible exceptions.*

(1) $g = 5$, *Z is the intersections of three quadrics in* $\mathbb{P}_{n+3}$, $n = 2m$ *and* $\dim X = \dim Y = m$.

(2) $g = 7$, $5 \leq n \leq 8$ *and Z is a linear section of the* $10-$*dimensional rational-homogeneous manifold* $SO_{10}(\mathbb{C})/P$ *with P maximal parabolic.*

(3) $g = 8$, $5 \leq n \leq 6$ *and Z is a linear section of the* $8-$*dimensional rational-homogeneous manifold* $Sl_6(\mathbb{C})/P$.

Proof. We shall use the classification due to Mukai ([Mu88,89], see also [IP99]).
If $b_2(Z) \geq 2$, then $X = \mathbb{P}_2 \times Q_3, \mathbb{P}_3 \times \mathbb{P}_3$ or a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_3 \times \mathbb{P}_3$, so we are done by (2.1) (Q_n denotes the n-dimensional quadric).
So we shall assume $b_2(Z) = 1$. In case $2 \leq g \leq 4$, Z is a degree 2 cover of $\mathbb{P}_n$ resp. a hypersurface in the projective space or the quadric, hence our claim again holds by (2.1) and (4.12). If $g = 9, 10$ again Z is homogeneous or a hypersurface in a homogeneous space, and we conclude. Thus it remains to treat the case $5 \leq g \leq 8$.
In case $g = 5$, we conclude from the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem that $b_q(Z) = 1$ for all even $q \leq 2n$ with the exception $n = 2m$ and $q = m$. Hence $X \cdot Y \neq \emptyset$.
If $g = 6$, then Z is a degree 2 cover of $G(2,5)$, so we conclude by (4.11).
In the cases $g = 7, 8$, we can only treat the cases when Z itself is homogeneous or a hyperplane of a homogeneous space. Thus only the listed cases remain.

□

References

[Ba87] Barlet,D.: A propos d'une conjecture de R. Hartshorne. J. Reine Angew. Math. 374 (1987), 214–220

[Ba99] Barlet,D.: How to use the cycle space in complex geometry. Schneider, Michael (ed.) et al., Several complex variables. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 37 (1999) 25–42

[BDM94] Barlet,D.; Doustaing,L.; Magnusson,J.: La conjecture de R. Hartshorne pour les hypersurfaces lisses de $\mathbb{P}_n$. J. Reine Angew. Math. 457 (1994), 189–202

[BPS90] Barlet,D.; Peternell,Th.; Schneider,M.: On two conjectures of Hartshorne's. Math. Ann. 286 (1990), 13–25

[FL82] Fulton,W.; Lazarsfeld,R.: Positivity and excess intersection. Progress in Math. 24, 97–105. Birkhäuser 1982

[Fu84] Fulton,W.: Intersection theory. Erg. d. Math. 3.Folge, Band 2. Springer 1984

[Gi71] Gieseker,D.: P-ample bundles and their Chern classes. Nagoya Math. J. 43 (1971), 91–116

[IP99] Iskovskikh,V.A.; Prokhorov, Yu.G.: Fano varieties. Algebraic geometry, V, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 47, Springer 1999.

[Ko95] Kollár,J.: Shafarevitch maps and automorphic forms. Princeton Univ. Press 1995

[Ko96] Kollár,J.: Rational curves on algebraic varieties. Erg. d. Math. vol 32, Springer 1996

[Lu80] Lübke,M.: Beweis einer Vermutung von Hartshorne für den Fall homogener Mannigfaltigkeiten. J. f. d. r. u. a. Math. 316 (1980), 215–220

[Me99] Mella,M.: Existence of good divisors on Mukai varieties. J. Algebr. Geom. 8, (1999) 197–206

[Mi92] Migliorini,L.: Remarks on a conjecture of Hartshorne. Math. Ann. 292, No.3 (1992), 445–456

[Mu88] Mukai,S.: Curves, $K3$ surfaces and Fano 3-folds of genus ≤ 10. Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. I, 357–377, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988.

[Mu89] Mukai,S.: Biregular classification of Fano 3-folds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 86 (1989), no. 9, 3000–3002.

[Poe92] Pöhlmann,T.: Untermannigfaltigkeiten mit positivem Normalenbündel. Thesis, Bayreuth (1992)

[PSS99] Peternell,T.; Schneider,M.; Sommese,A.J.: Kodaira dimension of subvarieties. Intl. J. Math. 10 (1999) 1065–1079

[workshop] Bauer, T.; Campana,F.; Eckl, T.; Kebekus, S.; Peternell, T.; Rams, S.; Szemberg, T.; Wotzlaw, L.: A reduction map for nef line bundles. In: Complex geometry. Collection of papers dedicated to Hans Grauert on the occasion of his 70th birthday. Springer, 27-36 (2002).

Thomas Peternell
Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bayreuth
D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany
thomas.peternell@uni-bayreuth.de